

Workgroup Vote – Stage 2

CMP317 and CMP327: Workgroup Vote

Please note: To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings.

Stage 2 - Workgroup Vote

2a) Assess the original and WACMs (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

2b) If WACMs exist, vote on whether each WACM better facilitates the Applicable CUSC Objectives better than the Original Modification Proposal.

2c) Vote on which of the options is best.

The Applicable CUSC Objectives (Charging) are:

- a. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
- b. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);
- c. That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;
- d. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency. These are defined within the National Grid Electricity Transmission plc Licence under Standard Condition C10, paragraph 1 *; and
- e. Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.

*Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).

Workgroup Vote

Stage 2a – Assessment against objectives

To assess the original and WACMs against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC).

You will also be asked to provide a statement to be added to the Workgroup Report alongside your vote to assist the reader in understanding the rationale for your vote.

Y = Yes, N = No, (-) = Neutral

ACO = Applicable CUSC Objective

Workgroup Member	Better facilitates ACO (a)	Better facilitates ACO (b)	Better facilitates ACO (c)	Better facilitates ACO (d)	Better facilitates ACO (e)	Overall (Y/N)
	Daniel Hickman - npower					
Original	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 1	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 2	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 3	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 4	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 5	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 6	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 7	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 8	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 9	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 10	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 11	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 12	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 13	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 14	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 15	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 16	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 17	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 18	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 19	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 20	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 21	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 22	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 23	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 24	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 25	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 26	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 27	-	-	-	Y	-	Y

WACM 28	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 29	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 30	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 31	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 32	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 33	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 34	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 35	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 36	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 37	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 38	Y	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 39	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 40	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 41	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 42	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 43	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 44	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 45	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 46	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 47	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 48	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 49	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 50	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 51	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 52	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 53	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 54	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 55	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 56	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 57	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 58	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 59	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 60	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 61	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 62	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 63	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 64	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 65	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 66	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 67	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 68	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 69	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 70	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 71	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 72	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 73	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 74	-	-	-	Y	-	Y

WACM 75	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 76	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 77	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 78	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 79	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 80	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 81	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 82	-	-	-	Y	-	Y
WACM 83	-	-	-	Y	-	Y

Voting Statement:

As the current CUSC cannot ensure compliance with 838/2010 both the original and all the workgroup alternatives offer potentially compliant solutions they are all better than the baseline against objective D.

As the split of revenue between generation and demand is a significant area of uncertainty in TNUoS tariff forecasting any solution that reduces that uncertainty either by removing the need for an error margin or targeting the lower end to the range of the limiting regulation without taking congestion charges into account at the time of tariff setting would aid competition by reducing the uncertainty of the level of charges that parties would face, and so would be better against objective A. As such all alternatives removing the need for an error margin, targeting the lower end to the range of the limiting regulation without taking congestion charges into account at the time of tariff setting or with a phased implementation would be better than the original proposal for competition.

Stage 2b – WACM Vote (If required)

Where one or more WACMs exist, does each WACM better facilitate the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the Original Modification Proposal?

Workgroup Member (Insert Name)	
WACM	Better than Original Yes/No
WACM 1	Yes
WACM 2	Yes
WACM 3	Yes
WACM 4	Yes
WACM 5	Yes
WACM 6	Yes
WACM 7	No
WACM 8	Yes
WACM 9	Yes
WACM 10	Yes
WACM 11	Yes
WACM 12	Yes

WACM 13	Yes
WACM 14	No
WACM 15	Yes
WACM 16	Yes
WACM 17	Yes
WACM 18	Yes
WACM 19	Yes
WACM 20	Yes
WACM 21	No
WACM 22	Yes
WACM 23	Yes
WACM 24	Yes
WACM 25	Yes
WACM 26	Yes
WACM 27	Yes
WACM 28	No
WACM 29	Yes
WACM 30	Yes
WACM 31	Yes
WACM 32	Yes
WACM 33	Yes
WACM 34	Yes
WACM 35	No
WACM 36	Yes
WACM 37	Yes
WACM 38	Yes
WACM 39	Yes
WACM 40	Yes
WACM 41	Yes
WACM 42	No
WACM 43	No
WACM 44	No
WACM 45	No
WACM 46	No
WACM 47	No
WACM 48	No
WACM 49	No
WACM 50	No
WACM 51	No
WACM 52	No
WACM 53	No
WACM 54	No
WACM 55	No
WACM 56	No

WACM 57	No
WACM 58	No
WACM 59	No
WACM 60	No
WACM 61	No
WACM 62	No
WACM 63	No
WACM 64	No
WACM 65	No
WACM 66	No
WACM 67	No
WACM 68	No
WACM 69	No
WACM 70	No
WACM 71	No
WACM 72	No
WACM 73	No
WACM 74	No
WACM 75	No
WACM 76	No
WACM 77	No
WACM 78	No
WACM 79	No
WACM 80	No
WACM 81	No
WACM 82	No
WACM 83	No

Stage 2c – Workgroup Vote

Which option is the best? (Baseline, Proposer solution (Original Proposal), WACM1 or WACM2)

Workgroup Member	Company	BEST Option?	Which objective(s) does the change better facilitate? (if baseline not applicable)
Daniel Hickman	npower	WACM2	A and D